MEMORANDUM

August 26, 2009

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Commission

VIA: Judith Delmar, City Manager jhd

FROM: Dorothy Pendergrass, Finance Director

RE: Auditing Services Agreement and Audit Engagement Letter

SYNOPSIS: Acceptance of the proposal submitted by Purvis Gray & Company, LLP will

provide for professional auditing services for fiscal year ending September 30,

2009, with the option to renew for four additional years.

RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Selection Committee recommends that the City Commission execute an agreement with Purvis Gray & Company, LLP for professional auditing services.

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Audit Selection Committee, staff recommends that the City Commission take the following actions:

- 1) Authorize the City Manager to execute the Auditing Service Agreement between the City of Lake Wales and Purvis Gray & Company, LLP., after approval by the City Attorney; and,
- 2) Approve the Auditing Engagement Letter submitted by Purvis Gray & Company, LLP for the City's financial statements for Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2009.

BACKGROUND

On July 7, 2009, the City Commission approved issuance of a request for proposal for professional auditing services. On August 4, 2009, in compliance with state statute 218.391, the City of Lake Wales established an audit committee. The primary purpose of the audit committee is to assist the governing body in selecting an auditor to conduct the annual financial audit. The Audit Selection Committee met on August 25, 2009 to:

- 1) Evaluate proposals provided by qualified firms.
- 2) Rank and recommend in order of preference no fewer then three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform the required services after considering the factors established within the RFP.

The audit selection committee members who evaluated the firms were:

- 1) Commissioner Lee A. Wheeler, III
- 2) Linda Kimbrough, Board Member of General Employee Pension Plan
- 3) Robert Kelly, Board Member of the Airport Authority
- 4) Bridget Denihan, Accounting Manager

The audit selection committee ranked the top three firms as follows:

- 1) Purvis Gray & Company LLP
- 2) Crown Howarth
- 3) James Moore & Co

The audit selection committee recommends awarding the auditing services agreement to Purvis, Gray & Company, LLP. Evaluation included the following factors:

- 1) The firm's experience/performance on comparable government engagements (20 points),
- 2) Quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to engagement (20 points),
- 3) Price quote for service (20 points), adequacy of audit approach (20 points),
- 4) Approach to the management letter (10 points), and
- 5) Familiarity with Certificate of Achievement in Financial Reporting (10 points)

A total of 100 points was possible from each evaluator with an aggregate possible evaluation score of 400 points for each firm.

Mr. Joseph Welch of Purvis, Gray & Company has provided an Audit Engagement Letter for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. The letter provides a detailed description of the services that will be provided through the audit process.

The Auditing Service Agreement is for a period of five years. The agreement may be terminated at will by the City at any time by giving thirty (30) days written notice thereof or at the end of any year by refusing to sign the succeeding years' annual engagement letter. The Auditors may terminate the Agreement at the end of any year by simply refusing to sign the succeeding years' annual engagement letter.

FISCAL IMPACT

The all-inclusive maximum fee for the City audit report and interim work will not exceed \$67,000 for 2008/2009 audit. The next four years have all-inclusive maximum price options of:

| 2009/2010 | \$ 69,000 |
|-----------|-----------|
| 2010/2011 | 71,100 |
| 2011/2012 | 73,200 |
| 2012/2013 | 75,400 |

The \$67,000 proposed fee for 2008/2009 represents a 26.4% decrease (\$24,020) in comparison to the 2007/2008 audit fees of \$91,020, which was charged for performing five separate audits. This does not included a discount of \$2,225; actual fees original were projected as \$93,245.

The \$67,000 proposed fee for 2008/2009 represents a 31.8% decrease (\$31,240) in comparison to the projected 2008/2009 fees of \$98,240, which were expected to be charged prior to the request for proposals and consolidation of the five separate audits.

ATTACHMENT

Audit Selection Committee – Ranking Totals – Professional Auditing Services

City of Lake Wales Ranking Totals - Professional Auditing Services

| Ranking | Bridget | | Robert | | Linda | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----|
| Order | Denihan | | Kellev | | | | Alex | |
| First Ranked Firm: | Cherry, Bekaert & Holland | 92 | Purvis Gray & Company | 82 | Kimbrough | | Wheeler | |
| Second Ranked Firm: | Purvis Gray & Company | | Crown Howarth | | Purvis Gray & Company | | Cherry, Bekaert & Holland | 100 |
| Third Ranked Firm: | CPA Group | | James Moore & Co | | Crown Howarth | | James Moore & Co | 100 |
| Fourth Ranked Firm: | James Moore & Co | | | | Cherry, Bekaert & Holland | 93 | Moore, Stephens, Lovelace | 90 |
| Fifth Ranked Firm: | McDirmitt, Davis & Company | 97 | Moore, Stephens, Lovelace | 58 | Moore, Stephens, Lovelace | 90 | Purvis Gray & Company | 95 |
| Sixth Ranked Firm: | Moore, Stephens, Lovelace | | McDirmitt, Davis & Company | | NCT Group | 86 | Crown Howarth | 85 |
| Seventh Ranked Firm: | Crown Howarth | | CPA Group | | CPA Group | 85 | CPA Group | 85 |
| Eight Ranked Firm: | Brynjulfson CPA | | NCT Group | | McGladrey & Pullen | 84 | NCT Group | 80 |
| Ninth Ranked Firm: | | | Cherry, Bekaert & Holland | 38 | McDirmitt, Davis & Company | 84 | McGladrey & Pullen | 80 |
| Tenth Ranked Firm: | Averett, Warmus, Durkee | | Averett, Warmus, Durkee | 38 | James Moore & Co | 83 | McDirmitt, Davis & Company | 80 |
| Eleventh Ranked Firm: | NCT Group | | Brynjulfson CPA | 36 | Averett, Warmus, Durkee | 80 | Averett, Warmus, Durkee | 70 |
| cieventh Ranked Firm: | McGladrey & Pullen | 55 | McGiadrey & Pullen | | Brynjulfson CPA | 79 | Brynjulfson CPA | 65 |

| Firms | Ranking Total |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Purvis Gray & Company | 365 |
| Crown Howarth | 328 |
| James Moore & Co | 328 |
| Cherry, Bekaert & Holland | 323 |
| Moore, Stephens, Lovelace | 313 |
| CPA Group | 301 |
| McDirmitt, Davis & Company | 288 |
| NCT Group | 265 |
| Averett, Warmus, Durkee | 253 |
| Brynjulfson CPA | 248 |
| McGladrey & Pullen | 247 |

Service to be ranked: Professional Auditing Services PROPOSAL RANKING SHEET

| Name of Community: | CITY OF LAKE WALES, POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name of Person Ranking Firms: | Bridget Denihan |
| Proposal Ranking Sheet Due: | August 21, 2009, 2:00 P.M. Finance Director |
| Date of Selection Coromittee; | Auguest 25, 2009, 12:60 P.M., City Manager Conference Room |

| EVALUATION FACTORS | Possible Point In Rank Weight | Avorett Warmus Durkee | Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. | Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. Cherry Bekaert & Holland | CPA Group | Crown Horwath | James Moore & Co | McDirmit, Davis & Company McGladray & Pullan | McGladrev & Putter | Moore Statement | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------|---------------|------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Experience/performance on comparable dovernment | ć | | | | | | | | | | NCI Group | Purvis Gray & Company |
| engagement. | 07 | <u>_</u> | <u></u> | (E | 14 | Ň | \ <u>_</u> | را | / | ٢ | ٤ | ć |
| Quality of firm's professional | ę | | , |) | <i>c</i> | 2 | 27 | 2 | U | 8 | 2 | ار ال |
| engagement, | 7 | <u></u> | 0 | Ĉ | <u></u> | V | C (2 | V | <i>ć</i> | (| Ī | |
| Price quote for service. | 22 | | 1 | |) | |) | 2 |) | Ź | Ω | 0 |
| | 3 | | 9 | | \Box | Ċ. | <u></u> | <u>_</u> | d | T | 9 | |
| Adequacy of audit approach. | 20 | C | | | | | , , |) | Q | Ô | þ | ر الا |
| | | 2 | | 0,0 | ට ෆ් | <u></u> | 7 | | <u>.</u> | 0.5 | ٠, | 7 |
| Approach to the Management L | 2 | نا | < | 6 | 8 | } \ | 5 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Familiarity with Certificate of | | 7 |) | 0 | ŞC | ۵ |) | מל | Ω. | \ <u>(</u> () |) <u>(</u> | ĺΊ |
| Achlevement in Financial Reporting, | 10 | 0 | Q | <u> </u> | C | <u> </u> | 0 | | | | 15 | 3 |
| Ranking Total | 100 | L | 0 | >6 |) (7 | _1 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| | | 0 | 200 | 4 | 50 | 7,00 | 3 | - C | 7.U. | \(\frac{1}{\Gamma} \) | D M | 20 |
| Provide a listing of firms by Accounting III and the many | Inachandary III | | : | | | | | |) | 275 | ۵ ۲ | 0 |

Provide a listing of firms by descending "Ranking Totals". Firms should be listed by the firm you would choose first under "First Ranked Firm" and descending order based on "Ranking Total". All eleven firms must be listed within ranking order.

| First Ranked Firm. | CHERRY DEKAFER IT I IND |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Second Ranked Firm: | DIRVIS GRAZ |
| Third Ranked Firm: | 0 |
| Fourth Ranked Firm: | JAMES MOORE & O. |
| Fifth Ranked Firm: | Mr Dipmit Davis a Co |
| Sixth Ranked Firm: | PHENK |
| Seventh Ranked Firm: | يز ال |
| Eight Ranked Firm; |] [] |
| Ninth Renked Firm: | AUTREN IN PAIN DIEXER |
| Tenth Ranked Florn; | NOT GRADE |
| Eleventh Ranked Firm; | Mechapier Poller |
| Proposal Ranked by: | Ky Chart O.K. |
| | Signature |

Instructions:

Ranking Converted b

Audit Committee members need to provide a completed and signed "Proposal Ranking Sheet" to the Finance Director by 2:00 P.M., August 21, 2009.

The Finance Director shall convert proposal ranking sheets into a summary worksheet by August 24, 2009, based on ranking points. The summary worksheet shall be provided at the August 25, 2009 Audit Committee meeting.

Audit Committee members shall be provided a copy of all proposed ranking sheets and the summary sheet at the 12:00 P.M. August 25, 2009 meeting located in the City Manager Conference Room. 8

The Audit Committee, after discussion and review of proposed ranking sheets, shall recommend in order of preference no fewer than three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform 4

The Finance Director shall complete an agenda item for September 9th City Commission meeting, based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee. ŝ

| Name of Person Ranking Firms: Robert Kelly Proposal Ranking Sheet Duc: August 21, 2009, 2300 P.M. Finance Director Date of Selection Committee: August 25, 2009, 12200 P.M., City Manager Conderence Ro | Name of Community: | CITY OF LAKE WALES, POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | Name of Person Ranking Firms: | Robert Kelly |
| | Proposal Ranking Sheet Due: | August 21, 2009, 2:40 P.M. Finance Director |
| | Date of Selection Committee: | Auguest 26, 2009, 12:00 P.M., City Manager Conference Room |

| | - | _ | | | 1 | ν. | _ | | | Ļ | 1 | | 1 | | _ | |
|--------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------|---------------|------|---|
| | NCT Stours Duryle Grau & Common | i ai ris oraș e company | Ţ | \ \ | 11 | | 0 | , (| 8 | いいのできる | ò | Þ | 0 | 9 | · () | |
| | NCT Group | | 8 | ı | Ò | • | 8 | (| (S) | 66,000,0 | 1 | 1 | ۲ | • | 115 | |
| | McGladrey & Pullen Moore Stephens Lovelace. P.A. | | 121 | // | 61 | 8 | 0/ | (| | 11,000.00 | . 1 | 'n | ١, | ` | 28 | |
| | McGladrey & Pullen | | 7 | ל | √ | ` | V | N. Committee | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25,860.0 | • | า | • |) | SAC | |
| | McDirmit, Davis & Company | | d | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | () | 0 | 13,000,00 | 2 | 7 | 7 | | 72 | |
| | James Moore & Co | | 12 | | 7 | | X | | | 200 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 9 | |
| | Crown Horwath | | 1 | | 1 | • | 9 | | | | ġ | 3 | 9 |) / | 12 | |
| | CPA Group | | V | | e, | , | 7 | | 66000 | | \ | 3 | ل | 011 | 43 | |
| | Cherry Bekaert & Holland | | U | | ۹. | | 9(| 6 (10) | 1/600 10 | | 7 | | グ | 100 | 2 | • |
| | Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. | • | 7 | | v | C |) 0< | | 13,000, 60 FLE, 766. Q. 6,1600 | | \ | | 1 | , , | 2 | |
| | rossible Point Averett Warmus Durkee Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. Cherry Bekaert & Holland | • | bo | , | do | * | \ (| 3 | 63,000,00 | | > | | * | 100 | 8 | • |
| The state of | rossible Point in Rank Weight | | 70 | 1 | 07 | ÷ | 92 | 6 | 707 | Ş | 2 | , | 2 | 100 | 8 | |
| Т | EVALUATION FACTORS | Experience/performance on | comparable government engagement. | Quality of firm's professional | engagement. | 0.1 | rive quote for service. | Actentians of sandit sources | nardanos a anut approach. | Approach to the Management | The state of the s | Familiarity with Certificate of | Reporting, | Ranking Total | | |

Provide a listing of firms by descending "Ranking Totals", Firms should be listed by the firm you would choose first under "First Ranked Firm" and descending order based on "Ranking Total". All eleven firms must be listed within ranking order.

| PUBLIS GRAY & CANAMA | - | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| First Ranked Firm; | Second Ranked Firm: | Third Ranked Firm: | Fourth Ranked Firm: | Fifth Ranked Firm; | Sixth Ranked Firm; | Seventh Ranked Firm. | Eight Ranked Firm: | Ninth Ranked Firm: | Tenth Ranked Firm: | Eleventh Ranked Firm. | |

Instructions:

- Audit Committee members need to provide a completed and signed "Proposal Ranking Sheet" to the Finance Director by 2:00 P.M., August 21, 2009.
- The Finance Director shall convert proposal ranking sheets into a summary worksheet by August 24, 2009, based on ranking points. The summary worksheet shall be provided at the August 25, 2009 Audit Committee meeting.
- Audit Committee members shall be provided a copy of all proposed ranking sheets and the summary sheet at the 12:00 P.M. August 25, 2009 meeting located in the City Manager Conference Room. က်
 - The Audit Committee, after discussion and review of proposed ranking sheets, shall recommend in order of preference no fewer than three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform
- The Finance Director shall complete an agenda item for September 9th City Commission meeting, based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee. 2

| Name of Community; | CITY OF LAKE WALES, POLK COUNTY, PLOSEDA |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name of Person Raphing Firms: | Linda Kimbrough |
| Proposal Ranking Sheet Due: | August 21, 2006, 230 P.M. Finance Director |
| Date of Selection Committee: | Auguest 25, 2009, 12:80 P.M., City Manager Conference Roam |

| EVALUATION FACTORS | Possible Point in Rank Weight | Averett Warmus Durkee | Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. | Brynjulfson CPA, P.A. Cherry Bekaert & Holland | СРА Group | Grown Horwath | James Moore & Co | McDirmit, Davis & Contrany | Messachen & Date | James Moore & Co McDirmit, Davis & Contrant McTistries & Barrier | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|
| Experience/performance on comparable covernment | Ç. | | | | | | | | tions to follow | MOORE Stephens Lowelace, P.A. | NCT Group | Purvis Gray & Company |
| епдадетент. | 7 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 6 | Ò | 111 | 1 | · · | | | |
| Quality of firm's professional personnel to be assumed to | ď | | | | | 1 | 8/ | 15- | 20 | 8/ | , S | 0 |
| engagement. | 2 | (7 | /-/ | 1 | 61 | 000 | 2 | ** | ĭ | | | |
| Price quote for service. | 8 | , | | | | 3 | | 2 | | | S S | 20 |
| | | \ \ \ | -63 | 11 | 0) | 17 | 7 | 1 | ,,, | • | | ů, |
| Aucquacy or audit approach. | 20 | 15/ | 0 | 2 | , | | ,, | | | | 0) | 40 |
| Approach to the Management L | 40 | | 6 | | | 20 | 3- | 77 | 16 | 15 | 00/ | 5/ |
| Familiarity with Cartifeants of | 2 | 0/ | 1,0 | /0 | 0/ | 0 | 2/2 | | | 9) | | |
| Achievement in Financial Reporting. | 40 | 01 | D _C | 9) | 2 | 10 | 7 | 00 | 97 | 0/ | 0 | /0 |
| Ranking Total | 100 | 0 | 10 | 0 0 | 10 | | 200 | 0 | 9) | 0/ | 9) | - 0/ |
| | J | | 7 | (2) | 4 | 7. | , C | J. | 4 | 70 | | |

Provide a listing of firms by descending "Ranking Totals". Firms should be listed by the firm you would choose first under "First Ranked Firm" and descending order based on "Ranking Total". All eleven firms must be listed within ranking order.

| | William Vollage and the listed by the lifth Vollage |
|------------------------|---|
| First Ranked Fara: | PHEVIS Grey & Con Dans |
| Second Ranked Firm: | Crowd Hornath |
| Third Ranked Firm: | Cherry Bentunt & 1/11/2 |
| Fourth Banked Firm: | More Stephens Institute 20 |
| Fith Ranked Firm; | Not General |
| Sidth Ranked Firm; | CPA Cross o |
| Severth Ranked Fam; | Mc Charact & D. 11- |
| Eight Ranked Firm; | Min Dige + Doug & Co. |
| Nigh Restact Firm: | James Mr. 4 no |
| Tenth Rankod Firm: | Averat Warmer |
| Eleverith Ranked Firm: | Branie German |
| | |

Locothy

Ranking Converted b

Instructions:

ন

- Audit Committee members need to provide a completed and signed "Proposal Ranking Sheet" to the Finance Director by 2:00 P.M., August 21, 2009.
- The Finance Director shall convert proposal ranking sheets into a summary worksheet by August 24, 2009, based on ranking points. The summary worksheet shall be provided at the
- Audit Committee members shall be provided a copy of all proposed ranking sheets and the summary sheet at the 12:00 P.M. August 25, 2009 meeting located in the City Manager Conference Room. m 4
 - The Audit Committee, after discussion and review of proposed ranking sheets, shall recommend in order of preference no fewer than three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform the required services.
 - The Finance Director shall complete an agenda item for September 9th City Commission meeting, based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee. G

Service to be ranked: Professional Auditing Services PROPOSAL RANKING SHEET

| Name of Community: | 8 | CITY OF LAKE WALES, POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA | VIY, PLORIDA | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------|---------------|------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Name of Person Ranking Firms: | | Alex Wheeler | | - | | | | | | |
| Proposal Ranking Sheet Due: | | August 21, 2009, 2:00 P.M. Finance Director | be Director | | | | | | | |
| Date of Selection Committee: | Auguest ; | Auguest 26, 2009, 12:00 P.M., City Manager Conference Room | r Conference Room | · · · · | | | | | | |
| EVALUATION FACTORS | Possible Point in Rank Weight | Averett Warmus Durkee | Brynjuffson CPA, P.A. | Brynjuffson CPA, P.A. Cherry Bekaert & Holland | CPA Group | Crown Horwath | A Superior | | | |
| Experience/performance on comparable government | 2 | \ | | | - | | | wcurmit, Davis & Company McGladrey & Pullen Moore Stephens Lov | McGladrey & Pullen | Moore Stephens Lo |
| engagement. Quality of firm's professional | 3 | 2 | X | 20 | 20 | 20 | g | S T | 20 | 70 |
| personnel to be assigned to engagement. | 20 | S S | 0/ | 20 | 0/ | 7.0 | 6 | | 1 | 7 |
| Price quote for service. | 20 | 10 | 01 | 0,0 | 5, 6 | , |) (|) | 3 | 8 |
| Adequacy of audit approach. | 20 | 21 | | 500 | 8 | 00 ! | 2 | 70 | 8 | 20 |
| Approach to the Management I | Ç | ? (| 7; |) (| ? | マ | 9 | 20 | 0 | 7 |
| Familiarity with Certificate of | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0/ | 0 | 5 | 9 | 47 | 1 | V |
| Achievement in Financial Reporting. | 10 | 0/ | ľ | 2 | 10/ | 0 | 0/ | 4 | 2 5 |) \$ |
| Ranking Total | 100 | 10 | 65 | 100 | L. | <u>}</u> | , , | | 2 (| 2/3 |
| | | *************************************** | | , | * | 7 | | _ د | | ر ريخ ر |

Purvis Gray & Company

NCT Group

ovelace, P.A.

0

3 9

8

20

9

0

Provide a listing of firms by descending "Ranking Totals". Firms should be listed by the firm you would choose first under "First Ranked Firm" and descending order based on "Ranking Total". All eleven firms must be listed within ranking order DEVAE ALGER RE JO HELEN E) JAMES and Ranked Firm:

the state of the s OCCOUNTACENATE とつまゆろ Ce 6(A) Rey Chasp 5502 人のひまのまって Portuined **インののできた** S C C アロイ anth Ranked Firm: enth Ranked Firm: ourth Ranked Firm: hird Ranked Firm: inth Ranked Firm: enth Ranked Firm; ight Ranked Firm; Uth Ranked Firm: oth Ranked Firm:

Ranking Converted b

Proposal Ranked

Instructions:

=

Audit Committee members need to provide a completed and signed "Proposal Ranking Sheet" to the Finance Director by 2:00 P.M., August 21, 2009.

The Finance Director shall convert proposal ranking sheets into a summary worksheet by August 24, 2009, based on ranking points. The summary worksheet shall be provided at the

Audit Committee members shall be provided a copy of all proposed ranking sheets and the summary sheet at the 12:00 P.M. August 25, 2009 meeting located in the City Manager Conference Room. The Audit Committee, after discussion and review of proposed ranking sheets, shall recommend in order of preference no fewer than three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform 4

The Finance Director shall complete an agenda item for September 9th City Commission meeting, based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee. 2